

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,341	50,341 09/11/2003 Hiroki Ogata		YAMA-65283	5146	
24201 FULWIDER PA	7590 03/20/2007 ATTON LLP	EXAMINER			
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			SAGER, MARK ALAN		
LOS ANGELE	DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR S, CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3714		
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/660,341	OGATA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
M. A. Sager	3712

		M. A. Sager	3712	
The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
	8 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
 The reply was fil this application, places the appli a Request for C time periods: 	ed after a final rejection, but prior to or or applicant must timely file one of the followation in condition for allowance; (2) a Noontinued Examination (RCE) in compliant	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period fo no event, hov Examiner Not	or reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A vever, will the statutory period for reply expire to te: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may I have been filed is the da under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is set forth in (b) above, if of	be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date te for purposes of determining the period of excalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the checked. Any reply received by the Office late patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of April filing the Notice	ppeal was filed on A brief in composition of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of te appeal. Since
(a) ☐ They raise (b) ☐ They raise (c) ☒ They are i appeal; a (d) ☐ They pres	emendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a new issues that would require further content issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed to place the application in beind/or lent additional claims without canceling a See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below);	
4. The amendmer 5. Applicant's rep	nts are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 ly has overcome the following rejection(s d or amended claim(s) would be a	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	·	
7. For purposes of how the new or The status of the Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objecte Claim(s) rejecte	f appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) amended claims would be rejected is proeclaim(s) is (or will be) as follows: d: ed to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
because applica	ER EVIDENCE other evidence filed after a final action, but ant failed to provide a showing of good and oresented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or on the entered because showing a good	other evidence filed after the date of filing e the affidavit or other evidence failed to and sufficient reasons why it is necessar rother evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	ONSIDERATION/OTHER		may to below or allaoi	icu.
11. The request fo See Continuat	r reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	ned Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Ml	-
			M. A. Sager	

M. A. Sager Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3712 Continuation of 3. NOTE: see response below to block 11 incorporated herein.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the terminal disclaimer filed 2/8/07 is again improper as per notice mailed 3/9/07 and thus fails to overcome holding.